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(Pledge of Allegiance)
MR. POTEET:
Roll call.
MS. BARON:
John Poteet?
MR. POTEET:
Here.
MS. BARON:
George Floyd?
MR. FLOYD:
(No response.)
MS. BARON:
Tony Cormier?
MR. CORMIER:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Matthew Pederson?
MR. PEDERSON:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Richard Watts?
MR. WATTS:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Steve Olave?

MR. OLAVE:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Ricky Donnell?
MR. DONNELL:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Darty Smith?
MR. SMITH:
(No response.)
MS. BARON:
Dino Taylor?
MR. TAYLOR:
Here.
MS. BARON:
And Jefferey Britt?
MR. BRITT:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Mr. Chairman, we have a quorum.
MR. POTEET:
Very good.
Do we have anyone here today for public comments?

MS. BARON:

We do not.
MR. POTEET:
All right. The last meeting, September, we need the adoption and approval of the minutes. Has everybody had a chance to read those? I'm assuming so.

Motion?
MR. OLAVE:
I make a motion, Mr. Chairman. MR. DONNELL:

Second.
MR. POTEET:
Mr. Olave. Second from Mr.
Donnell.
Next, we've got items for discussion and action, financial matters. It looks like we've got an audit today, right, or a review of the audit. Mr. McKowen.

MR. MCKOWEN:
Thanks, Mr. Chairman.
Did everybody get a copy of my report?

MR. POTEET:
It looks like this.

MR. McKOWEN:
Okay. I did audit your financial statements as of June 30 th of this year. Once again, in my opinion, it's fairly stated in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. This year, I did emphasize two matters. So if you look at page 2 of my report in the very front, it says: "As disclosed in note 8 of the financial statement, the net pension liability of the Commission is 2 million dollars."

I wanted to point out this year that that's just an estimate. It's actuarially determined, but for an entity of this size, it's -- $I$ can promise you it's not going to be 2 million dollars, whether it's a million or 3 million dollars. But I just wanted to make sure everybody realized that -- I shouldn't say it's a number that's pulled out of the air, because the actuaries have determined that number, but it's a wild estimate.

MR. POTEET:
So it's just an actuarial
assessment?
MR. MCKOWEN:
That's correct. Similarly, the second matter that $I$ want to bring to your attention is that there are new -- a new -there is a new statement from Governmental Accounting Standards Board that went into effect for the year that I audited, which increases your pension liability by \$650,000. Again, it's just an estimate. And just for those of you who have not been on a -- on a commission before, these are liabilities that the state -- that all the states have built up over the years and folks are finally saying, well, we need to let people know, need to let the public know, that these debts are out there. Sooner or later, your employees here are going to retire and you owe them for their pension and for their health insurance for the rest of their lives. So it's a lot of money. But, anyway, it doesn't change anything. It's just something that is now recognized.
So I'm going to skip over to

Derek's discussion and analysis. Most of this is just numbers that we're going to look at in other places. So to save time, let's skip over to page 11. That's your statement of net position or your balance sheet. The end of the year was 3 million 2 in cash. You've got $\$ 750,000$ in investments. That's all the CDs. You had accounts receivable. That's all from hearings and fines and that number was 80,000. So you had current assets of right at 3 million dollars. You had capital assets, fixed assets, of 174,000 . So your total assets were 3 million 2.

Your -- I'm going to skip over to short-term liabilities. All of that is just accounts payable and payroll taxes and that kind of thing. But your long-term liabilities, again, you've got 3 million 6 of that now with all these pensions and liabilities that you need to recognize. And what that's done is thrown you into a negative position in terms of your net assets at the end of the year. You're not alone there. Everybody is in the same
position.
Page 12 is your statement of revenue. You had total revenues for the year of a million 385. Total expenses for the year a million 385. You made $\$ 14$ for the year. But you did have interest income as non-operating. So that is down at the bottom. You had total income for the year of 37,000 after you include the interest. So, again, you've got a deficit at year end of 394,000. Cash flows, you've got 181,000 less in cash than you did last year. Primarily, you bought some more CDs.

The notes, I'm not going to go through all of that. I took a look at it this morning. The only thing that $I$ might point out is note 14 on page 34. And that, again, talks about your other post-employment benefits, liability. That's new this year.

Page 37 is your budget
comparison. Y'all were a little light on revenues this year compared to what you had estimated, but other than that, it looked fine.

Page 44 discloses your per diems. Page 45 discloses Derek's salary and benefits. Page 46 is my report on your internal control and compliance and everything looked fine there. I had no findings.

And then, finally, the last section starting on page 50, your agreed upon procedures that the legislative auditor now requires. And they throw in something new every year just to say got you on something. So number -- which one was it? Number 20-B under ethics, they want to know this year if there's any documentation showing that all employees have looked at the ethics policy in the last year. No. Where -- it came out of the blue. So we just said no. Well, could it -- or y'all said, no, but we'll care of that next year. MS. BARON:
Yes, we will.

MR. McKOWEN:
Mr. Chairman, that's my report.
MR. POTEET:
Okay.
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MR. MCKOWEN:
All good.
MR. POTEET:
Anybody have any comments?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
I have a couple of comments. One is, I want to congratulate Mona and the staff here. I don't know if every agency looks as clean and as good as this does, but it's a compliment to everybody here in the office, you know. When something like this comes out, people tend to be bored by these kinds of things. You know why? Because everything is going right. It's boring when it -- the more boring it is, the better things are. So I'm proud of all of you. You've all done a great job. And, you know, so my compliments to an excellent, excellent staff.

And, Mr. McKowen, thank you once again. We'll be seeing each other like this for a few years.

MR. McKOWEN:
Okay. I'll see you next year.
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MR. POTEET:
All right. Thank you.
MR. OLAV:
I make a motion pertaining to whatever is pertinent at this moment in time.

MR. POTEET:
But we do need to approve that, don't we?

MS. MORRIS:
We just accept it.
MR. POTEET:
We just accept it. I need a motion to accept it. Mr. Slave made a motion.

MR. OLAV:
Whatever is pertinent.
MR. POTEET:
I think it's a motion to accept.
MR. WATTS:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:

All right. So let's see. Financial report. Mona.

MS. ANDERSON:
Okay. So since we didn't have an October meeting, the September and October financials are in your package. You can review the September statements at your convenience and we'll discuss both of them as we go through October.

So on page -- pages 1 and 2, you have the statement of net position. These financials include the adjusting journal entries from the audit. Things changed a little bit. The balance in the operating account at the end of October was \$2,054,893. And it had decreased in September, but it increased 77,000 in October due to the renewals. The fines accounts receivable remained the same for September and October. Non-current assets decreased due to surplusing some older equipment we had and we also recorded the depreciation for the '17 -- I'm sorry, the '18 -- '17-'18 fiscal year. Current liabilities have normal balances for
payables and employee benefits.
On page 2, the long-term
liabilities include the deferred inflows for 2019, which were $\$ 280,915$. And the deferred inflows for 2020, which at the beginning of renewals was 59,755.

As John explained to you, the OPEB liability increased with the audit by approximately $\$ 647,000$ for a total of $\$ 1,444,918$. And that's because when they -just as with the pension liability, when they start this out, they make you do a prior period adjustment and adjusted at audit. So we kind of get a double hit on the first year that it's recognized.

And if you'll turn on to the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, the year-to-date revenues were $\$ 284,234$ compared to 297,787 last year. This was due to the timing of some auction transaction fees. On page 4, the salaries and related benefits were $\$ 40,000$ higher than the previous year. The prior and current year adjustments to OPEB liability affected those totals. So when we recognize
it on the balance sheet, you also have to recognize the expense on -- and so we did that at the beginning of the July.

The remainder of the expenses were lower. And overall expenses were approximately $\$ 30,000$ higher than the previous year. And on page 5, the change in net position for the month was a positive $\$ 11,640$. And for the year -- well, the year to date was a negative $\$ 125,763$. Page 6 has a four-year comparison -- revenue comparison, so that you can compare the two year license fees for '18-'19 to the comparable '16-'17 fees. And page 7 is a visual depiction of that report.

Page 8 is the year-to-date budget to actual expenditures and that -- this chart reflects the agency expenditures on the right -- I'm sorry, the -- yes, agency expenditures on the right, which should be approximately one-third of the budget at this time. Page 9 is the certificate of deposit summary and there were no changes in the certificates of deposit for October. Page 10 is the accounts receivable hearing
fines. The fines assessed in September were $\$ 5,550$ and 1,250 was collected. There was no Commission meeting in October. So there were no changes for October.

And unless there are any questions, Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report on the financial statements for October -- for September and October. MR. POTEET:

Does anyone have any questions?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
I think we're happy with that. I need a motion to accept the financial statements.

MR. OLAVE:
I make that motion, Mr. Chairman. MR. POTEET:

Mr. Olave.
Second?
MR. CORMIER:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second right here, Mr. Cormier.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
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(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
The motion carries.
All right. The next thing on our agenda is the proposed fiscal budget for 2019-2020. Mona.

MS. ANDERSON:
In your packet, you have the proposed 2019-2020 budget. On page BC-1, the budgeted revenues are $\$ 1,593,970$, which is an approximate 1 percent increase over what was budgeted for '18-'19. As John said, we didn't have a lot of increase in fees this past year. So we only budgeted -MR. POTEET:

> That's -- hang on. Has everybody
found it yet?
MR. WATTS:
I found it.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. Go ahead, Mona.
MS. ANDERSON:

Okay. So we only increased -- we only budgeted a 1 percent increase. The total license fees are $\$ 1,438,177$. And the proposed enforcement fines are 130,000, okay. We're going to be flipping around these pages quite a bit. So if you'll turn to $B C-6 a$, these are the recommended salaries and related benefits in compliance with the State of Louisiana, Civil Service Compensation Redesign Plan. The state employees who had successful evaluations will receive a market adjustment increase in July each year in an attempt to bring the state salaries in line with the market. The increase will be 2 to 3 percent, depending on the employee's current rate of pay. The retirement contributions are stable at this time. So we haven't had any increases.

The group health insurance, we will not anticipate an increase in January of 2019 . However, OGB expects us to have a 4 percent increase for the following two to three years. So this budget anticipates that 4 percent increase. On BC-6b, which is the next page, this reflects
the Board's compensation and all of these figures are carried forward to BC-6. So you have your total salaries and related benefits on BC-6.

Moving on to BC-7, there's a schedule of travel expenses. This reflects travel for both Commissioners and for staff. And the state approved some increases in lodging and meals this year. On BC-8, the operating expenses, this budget includes a 15 percent increase in operating expenses over the '18-'19 budgeted expenses.

Maintenance, there's a
Maintenance/Other category that includes things, like, our alarm, janitorial, lawn care. The miscellaneous expenses include bank fees and merchant fees for the online transactions. BC-9 is our professional services contract. And, again, we are budgeting at the maximum the contract as a precautionary measure. We rarely reach the maximum of our contracts.

On BC-10, we have other charges, which are basically our programs and IT related expenses and -- such as accounting
and the licensing program. On BC-11, this is our acquisition budget. We budget for at least one vehicle each year to replace our oldest vehicle. The rate of the vehicles has gone up. So we anticipate, maybe, another increase by the time this budget occurs. And we also budgeted 15,000 in -to purchase outdated equipment that we'll probably have to replace. We're finding that computers are not so much five-year life as two- to three-year life now.

So turning back to BC-3, on BC-3, the -- this summarizes the budget revenue and expenses and the estimated net position. The budgeted decrease in net position for '19-'20 is $\$ 17,493$. However, again, we have some expenses that are budgeted higher than they normally are as a precautionary measure. Please note that this budget does not include the net position liability nor the OPEB liability in here, because those are not based -- they can only be included due to the actuarial figuring of the -- of those liabilities. So we can't include that in our -- we can't budget for that, if you
will.
And so unless anyone has any questions, that concludes my report on the proposed 2019-'20 budget. And we'll need a resolution to adopt that budget.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. Does anybody have any questions?
(No response.)
MR. TAYLOR:
I make a motion.
MR. POTEET:
I've got a motion to resolve -to adopt the 2019-2020 budget.

MR. OLAVE:
I second the motion, Mr.
Chairman.
MR. POTEET:
Mr. Olave.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:

All right.
MR. TAYLOR:
I have one question back to our financials. Have we ever received anything from the Attorney General on anybody we've ever turned over to them?

MS. ANDERSON:
Originally, when we first turned over some accounts, we had some that were fairly easy to collect. They have since instituted some spreadsheets that we complete to send them the information. And it looks to me like the focus of most of their collection efforts are on student debt. So our amounts, you know, are small compared to that. So, no, I have not received any collection since that original amount.

MR. BRITT:
Question: Has anybody ever reached out and sat down and met with them to see what -- about changing their priorities and doing what their job is to do?

MS. ANDERSON:

I have reached out to our contact there.

MR. BRITT:
I mean, he's not running for Governor. So, I mean, he can do his job now.

MS. ANDERSON:
We did have one -- I did have one investigator call me. He contacted one of our higher fined ex-dealers and -- who basically told him that he had paid that already and he hadn't. And then the dealer just never got in touch with him again. And so that was the last $I$ heard on that one. MR. BRITT:

Mr. Chairman, do you think that it would be appropriate for Mr. Parnell to set up a meeting with the head investigator at the Attorney General's Office and have a lunch or discuss this in person, so we can get some direction on which way they're going to go?

MR. POTEET:
All right. Two things about that. The first answer -- the simple answer
is yes. And then the second one is, Mr. Parnell and I do have an administrative meeting set up with the Governor for the next -- sometime in the next few weeks and this would be a topic of conversation. MR. BRITT:

Good.
MR. POTEET:
This has been bothering me a little bit, too. So, you know, whatever their priorities are, I at least like them to say publicly, this is our priority, we're not going to go after your cases or we are or anything over 5, we will, anything over 25 --

MR. BRITT:
Because if they're not, we need to be -- we need to be thinking in a different direction --

MR. POTEET:
You're exactly right.

MR. BRITT:
-- how we're going to facilitate what we need to facilitate. MR. POTEET:

Right.
MR. BRITT:
And there are other avenues. But we need to get that done and do it legislatively and tag team with somebody else, because there are other departments out there capable of collecting this money for us.

Dino, I appreciate you bringing that up.

MR. POTEET:
I appreciate you bringing that up too, because that is something that -MS. ANDERSON:

Also, I think if they could report to us on the progress. I've requested reports from our contact before. I get what's akin to an aged receivable report from them, but there is nothing on there that says what --

MR. POTEET:
You know that.
MS. ANDERSON:
I know how they are. And there's nothing on there that says what sort of
efforts have been made. Maybe, they have and they just haven't reported to us or have the capacity to do so. I don't know. MR. BRITT:

But one question for past history, whether it was Richard Ieyoub, whether it was Buddy Caldwell, whomever the Attorney General was, what was the rate of progress with these other Attorney Generals in collecting this money?

MR. TAYLOR:
We never turned it over to them. MR. POTEET:

We didn't start turning over until --

MR. BRITT:
Oh, this was -- okay. How was it collected prior?

MR. POTEET:
Either through our processes of filing on their bonds and sometimes going to court. But, generally, we -- that was the point where we decided we needed to start going another route. We weren't making progress on our own, you know. We don't
have enough clout to do anything beyond what we're already doing. So that was -- and I -- sometimes, at my advanced age, I forget, but it seems like about three, four years ago, we started looking into working with the Attorney General's Office.

MR. BRITT:
Well, you know -- and I know we
have both of our lawyers here. The District Attorney of East Baton Rouge Parish has authority over every one of these -- every case in the state of Louisiana. He can -he can actually -- and y'all will have to do some research on this. But it's my
understanding that he could probably dedicate somebody for a fee. I mean, I'm sure it would cost somebody some money. But the East Baton Rouge District Attorney's Office, because we're the governing authority here, they would have some type of jurisdiction over it.

MR. HALLACK:
I don't think so. And let me say
this: I think -- Sheri, correct me if I'm wrong -- but they passed a law about three
or four years ago saying that the Attorney General is the preferred collection agency for the state of Louisiana.

MR. POTEET:
I think what's they started doing.

MS. MORRIS:
The Attorney General expanded their debt collection to the other debts, but then there's also the Office of Debt Collection under the Department of Revenue that was created after we had signed an agreement with the Attorney General and we have the option to switch to that agency or if you already had a contract in place, you could stay where you were. We elected to stay where we were after speaking with both agencies and what they were -- what their case loads were and things like that, because the Department of Revenue has so many different varied case loads. And I think at that time, we were having some communication with them on what we had turned over. So we didn't think switching. The agency can also do the collection
themselves. But for Robert and I to do it on an hourly basis, it didn't seem like it was economically feasible based upon what the Attorney General's Office is charging us, a percentage plus costs.

So the difficulty with some of these collections are, they're not -- the licensees are not in East Baton Rouge Parish. So you have to go after assets that are out of parish and some of them out of state. And some of them are entities that then -- you know, we revoke their license, collect on their bonds. The entity doesn't exist or have assets. So it's not an easy collection issue, which is I think why Robert or I would have spent a lot of money on some of these collections because of the way the entities are set up and where some of them are located.

MR. POTEET:
Is there any -- is there any -the fact that we're a self-funded agency, does that matter at all?

MS. MORRIS:
Does it matter in terms of?
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MR. POTEET:
In terms of collection through the state. Is the Attorney General -MS. MORRIS:

We have to go through the Attorney General's Office, the Debt of Collection or collect it ourselves.

MR. HALLACK:
Well, we can collect it ourselves.

MR. POTEET:
Yes. But to Sheri's point, we've got to be, you know, judicious on how we spend our money collecting.

MR. TAYLOR:
Yes. I spend more trying to collect than $I$ actually collect. And that's a fact.

MR. BRITT:
Well, everybody does that. I mean, it's just --

MR. POTEET:
Well, I -- go ahead.

MR. BRITT:
Excuse me. What are the steps --
the attorneys, what were the steps that y'all would have to take for us to -- for our office to do it?

MR. HALLACK:
Well, I --
MR. BRITT:
And is there any of that that could be dedicated to an employee to side step -- to the lower the cost of y'all and y'all oversee it.

MR. HALLACK:
-- well, $I$ think -- and, Sheri, can an agency employ another attorney on a contingency fee basis?

MS. MORRIS:
We can't on a contingency fee basis get another attorney that may be more set up to do collections. Most of the attorneys that do collections work do volumes of collections work --

MR. HALLACK:
Right.
MS. MORRIS:
-- and they have people that are
trained, you know, a lot of paralegals that
are trained to do that. I used to work for a firm that does a large of number of collections. And so you have -- in order to be efficient, you really have to have a staff.

MR. BRITT:
I guess my question was: Would it be prudent for us just to have a paralegal work out of this office on a contract basis to do the majority of the work to cut down on the cost of having y'all do it?

MS. ANDERSON:
We investigate -- you know,
because when I came onboard, some of my background is for profit. And so seeing old accounts like that was, like, no, we're not doing this.

MR. BRITT:
Okay.
MS. ANDERSON:
But we tried that, because we had some older accounts that I had consulted with Robert and he advised these entities were doing business in other states and we
attempted to get some collection firms and we were advised by the state that it needed to go through the Department of Justice. But -- and the -- on the debt recovery thing, what they do is they tag them or -in case they get refunds on their taxes, then we are entitled to payment before they get the refund. But, like she said, a number of these people just stop -- when they get these really big fines, they stop doing business here, they move to Texas, and they do business there. That's been the case on some of these accounts that we have. And my understanding was that we cannot pursue outside collection -- some type of outside collection agency or person, that it must go through the state.

MR. BRITT:
That's what $I$ was talking about, in-house.

MR. POTEET:
Well, and the other issue you have with any kind of collection is what's the motivation of the person that's being chased down? I mean, you know, if they're
-- you know, if they've already been -their license has been suspended, they may not own any property. They may not -- you know, what's their motivation to pay us? You've got to get -- I've always found in collections, you've got to have some type leverage. You've got to have some motivation, you know, I want my license back or I want this or I want that. So I think that it's something that definitely Derek and $I$ can discuss in our meeting at least briefly and just get a little bit more to that point. I don't think -- I don't think it would be a good idea for us to hire somebody to do it. I'm not saying no definitely --

MR. BRITT:
Right.
MR. POTEET:
-- but I -- the more I think
about it, I think about, you know, my ability to collect.

MR. BRITT:
Oh, it would have to be something worked out in-house with the other
employees, some of the current staff to assist the attorneys. That was my point. MR. OLAVE:

Well, the other issue there, too, is we definitely don't want to send a message that you can, you know, break the law. We can take your license, but then all you have to do is close up and we're not going to pursue you. That sends a message, too, you know, that that's okay to do it. MR. POTEET:

That's one of the things $I$ think -- you know, whenever we go after somebody's bond, I think that that is a message that we will go after your bond, so.

MR. BRITT:
If you can get to their driver's license or their hunting license. MR. HALLACK:

In the past, what we normally did was we asked a field investigator to follow up and see where the debtor -- where he was in life. And a lot of them went to work for new car dealers. I know -- Performance Cars and Trucks, we know that those two guys
actually made a lot of money off of their illegal activity and had nice homes and whatnot. But one of them went to work for a new car dealer in Texas and $I$ don't know what --

MR. TAYLOR:
Actually, he's back at Parts South.

MR. POTEET:
I had somebody not too long ago came to the auction that owed me quite a bit of money. And they were being registered -they wanted to register to represent a car dealer in Texas, a new car dealer. And, you know, I -- we called the dealer and said, this guy can't, and the dealer was, like, well, he's a great employee, so I guess we'll just not do business with you. I mean.

MR. HALLACK:
But, you know, we do have two very sizable judgments. One is a multi-million dollar judgment that's against a Georgia business. And when we looked into sending it to a Georgia counsel to collect
it for us, that's when the State told us that we needed to go through them to collect it against an out-of-state resident. And the Attorney General's Office at that time told us they had some kind of reciprocating agreement with the Georgia Attorney General's Office to collect that debt. It's -- like I said, it's a multi-million dollar judgment. I don't know if it's ever been collected or not.

MS. ANDERSON:
It is still on their reports. I asked them for a report and they're still on the report.

MR. TAYLOR:
When was that?
MR. POTEET:
That was before 2012.
MR. HALLACK:
Number one, we need to check to make sure that judgment hasn't expired, because judgments expire after 10 years.

MR. POTEET:
Well, it hasn't been 10 years, but it would be a good thing to check.

We'll follow up on it. Derek and I will follow up on it. Thanks for bringing it up.

Ratification of imposed penalties. We got a whole list of them. I'm sorry. We've got an invoice for Mr. Hallack.

MR. PARNELL:
Commissioners, you will find in your packet Attorney Hallack's bill for services for September of 2018. This was scheduled to be on the -- on the Commission meeting in October. I have reviewed the services performed and Mona has reviewed the time and they are correct. In addition, I have sent the full detailed bill of services for review to Commissioner Olave and he's notified me today that everything was okay with this. So Attorney Hallack's bill for services for September of 2018 is $\$ 4,162.50$. Commissioners, I ask that you approve payment of Attorney Hallack's bill.

MR. BRITT:
I make a motion.
MR. OLAVE:
Second the motion.

MR. POTEET:
Second.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
Now, ratification.
MR. PARNELL:
All right. Commissioners, you'll find in your packet ratification of imposed penalties. Keep in mind this is for two months, October and November 2018 -- I'm sorry, September and October. I apologize. I will announce the names, as always, of the dealers that have been listed on this list.

Do we have any representation?
Anyone present for any dealer?
MS. BARON:
No, sir, we do not.
MR. PARNELL:
I will go through the list. A2Z
Automotive \& Used Cars, LLC, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, $\$ 1,250$. Auto Brokers of

America, LLC, from Lafitte, Louisiana, \$1,450. Jefferson Autoplex, LLC, from Kenner, Louisiana, fine amount was $\$ 1,700$. B. Michelli Enterprises, LLC, doing business as Michelli's Autoplex, Hammond, Louisiana, fine amount was $\$ 1,100$. L.C. Speedway Motors, LLC, doing business as Speedway Motors, from Lake Charles, Louisiana, fine amount is \$700. Wil's Used Auto Repairs, LLC, from Crowley, Louisiana, fine amount is \$100. Xpress Wholesale, LLC, from Youngsville, Louisiana, fine amount is \$250. L.C. Speedway Motors, LLC, doing business as Speedway Motors, from Lake Charles, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 200$. Ben's Imports, LLC, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount is \$150. J \& J Auto Brokers, LLC, from Slidell, Louisiana, fine amount is \$200. Ideal Auto Sales, Incorporated, from St. Martinville, Louisiana, fine amount is \$100. SD Motors, Incorporated, from Springfield, Louisiana, fine amount is \$800. Casandra Richard, individually and doing business as Ms. Gator's Auto Sales, from New Iberia, Louisiana, fine amount is \$100.

Nidak's Enterprises, LLC, doing business as Coach's Carts, from Slidell, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 450$. The Salesman, LLC, from Crowley, Louisiana, fine amount is \$150. M $R$ \& E Sales, LLC, doing business as $M$ \& E Sales, from St. Martinville, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 200$. Breaux's Auto Sales, LLC, from Abbeville, Louisiana, fine amount is \$100. Dickerson Auto Sales, LLC, from Lafayette, Louisiana, fine amount is \$100. Michael J. Leger, individually and doing business as Buy-N-Sell Auto Sales, from Opelousas, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 100$. Alfred's Auto Sales, LLC, from Breaux Bridge, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 250$. Big Al's, Incorporated, doing business as Royal Autoplex, from Hammond, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 200$. The total amount of civil penalties is 900 -- I'm sorry, $\$ 9,650$. Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the imposed civil penalties assessed.

MR. TAYLOR:
I make a motion to ratify the penalties assessed.

MR. POTEET:
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I need a second.
MR. BRITT:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second Mr. Britt.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. Thank you, Derek.
Next, you've got -- you've got
ratifications of revocations.
MR. PARNELL:
Commissioners, you'll also find -- the next chart is the ratifications of revocations for November. Once again, I will go through the names. And we don't have anyone present. So I will go ahead and begin. J \& J Motors of Zachary, LLC, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, notice of revocation is November 7th of 2018. Global Auto Group, LLC, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, notice of revocation is October 24 th of '18. Mike's

Auto Sales, from Alexandria, Louisiana, notice of revocation is September 11, 2018. Right Turn Auto Sales, LLC, from Crowley, Louisiana, notice of revocation is August 6th of '18. Ideal Auto Sales, from St. Martinville, Louisiana, notice of revocation is October 22nd of '18. Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the revocation of dealers I have just announced. MR. BRITT:

I make a motion.
MR. POTEET:
Motion Mr. Britt.
MR. DONNELL:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second Mr. Donnell.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. Next, we have the Executive Director's report.

MR. PARNELL:
Okay. Commissioners, you will also find an alleged issue counts chart that illustrates the amount of alleged issues that were for the months September and October. The total number for September and October was 178 issues. The next document is a case report, which illustrates the amount of cases that were assigned to the investigators for the months of September and October. There were 104 cases assigned. The last report that you will see is the department summary report. For the months of September and October, there were 83 cases that were closed. For those months, in additional information, there were 54 physical inspections done by the compliance investigators. 155 site visits were conducted. And nine audits were conducted during that time frame.

Other news, the licensing
department, we're doing -- the staff is doing really well and working through the license -- the licensees that we're licensing right now. Everything is going
pretty smooth. We haven't had really any hiccups staff-wise and/or with our equipment. So we're trying to stay as close as we can to the 14-day turnaround of the dealers getting their licenses in a timely manner. Once they have it, they can get it to us.

Other items that we have is the vehicle. I just got a call from -- last week that that vehicle, it did come in. They are working -- Louisiana Property Assistance, they are working on checking it in. Then, they're going to get the GPA installed in it. And at that point, they'll call and we will go ahead and trade in the vehicle that we currently have and that will be it. So that's pretty much all I have. Are there any questions, comments, or concerns.

MR. TAYLOR:
Just a statement. My barometer of licensing, you don't have to tell me because the dealers tell me, because they call me complaining, I can't get my license. And so right now, I've received zero calls
this year. And last year, I only received one versus the years prior to that. So we're doing an excellent job in-house licensing.

MR. WATTS:
I got mine back fast.
MR. PARNELL:
Well, we have one additional
staff person that greatly helped a lot and put that 14-day turnaround. So if someone submits their application, we need to have it out within a -- within a certain time frame. And I think that helped out a great deal. I haven't received -- I haven't received any calls this year from any senators, any state reps, the Governor's office, any other. Around this time of the year, it's currently crazy in my office with state representatives. So we have done -MR. POTEET:

At the auction -- I'm sure Matt can tell you now that he's on the Commission, $I$ don't know if anyone had complained to him before -- but, usually, the last two weeks of the year and the first
two weeks of January, it used to be just, oh, please. When they start walking towards me, $I$ get in the car and say, hey, $I$ can't talk to you. But it's been -- it's been good. If people know you're on the Commission, they're going tell you.

MR. BRITT:
Oh, tell me. Kim, y'all did get the little girl straight, didn't you? The girl from Concordia that bought the car down here I called you about.

MS. BARON:
Yes, I think so.

MR. BRITT:
Yes. They call me at home now. Some former politicians give them my cell phone number.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. The next thing on our agenda is actually the hearing. So is anybody here for that hearing?

MR. HALLACK:
No.
MR. POTEET:
All right. So Mr. Donnell walked
out for a minute, I assume. Let's go ahead and take an adjournment for just a few minutes and we'll come back in and do the hearing.
(Meeting adjourned at 10:20 a.m.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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